

¹Roxelyn and Richard Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Northwestern University ²Department of Neurobiology, Northwestern University, ³Knowles Hearing Research Center

Background

- From auditory periphery to cortex, tonotopy is a hallmark organizational pattern of auditory structures.

-In nucleus magnocellularis (NM), the avian analog to the anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AVCN), high frequency neurons develop synaptic connections earlier than low frequency neurons¹.

- NM neurons express some intrinsic differences across the tonotopic axis after embryonic development².

- Still, it is unclear when these differences arise and whether they are caused by a developmental delay or are conserved over time.

- Here, we used whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiology to record intrinsic differences between high (HF) and low frequency (LF) neurons at various stages across development.



latency. HF neurons have a lower input resistance and larger low-voltage activated potassium (K⁺) current. No difference in total K⁺ current between HF and LF >-10 mV. Inset is K⁺ current at -40 mV.

Avian Cochlear Nucleus Neurons Exhibit Tonotopic Specializations Across Development Kristine McLellan^{1,2} & Jason Tait Sanchez^{1,2,3}





Voltage (mV)





Figure 4: NM neurons exhibit some tonotopic specializations after hearing onset. While both high frequency (HF) and low frequency (LF) neurons fire precise APs after hearing onset, HF neurons still fire APs with earlier peak latencies than LF neurons. Unlike during hearing onset, the rise and repolarization rates of the APs are similar across frequencies. HF neurons have a significantly lower input resistance and larger low-voltage activated potassium (K⁺) current magnitude than LF neurons, suggesting that HF neurons have more Kv1 channels than LF neurons. Inset is K⁺ current at – 40 mV.

References: . ¹Jackson H, Hackett JT, & Rubel EW (1982). Organization and Development of Brain Stem Auditory Nuclei in the Chick: Ontogeny of Postsynaptic Responses. J Comp Neurol 210(1):80-86. ²Fukui I & Ohmori H (2004). Tonotopic Gradients of Membrane and Synaptic Properties for Neurons of the Chicken Nucleus Magnocellularis. J Neurosci 24(34): 7514-23. ³ Jones TA, Jones SM, & Paggett KC (2006). Emergence of Hearing in the Chicken Embryo. J Neurophys 96(1):128-41. ⁴Rubel EW, Smith DJ, & Miller LC (1976). Organization and Development of Brain Stem Auditory Nuclei of the Chicken: Ontogeny of N. Magnocellularis and N. Laminaris. J Comp Neurol 166(4):469-89.

Funding: NIDCD R01 DC017167 (JTS), NIDCD 1F31DC02136601A1 (KM)

Figure 4: High frequency (HF) neurons develop intrinsic properties earlier than low frequency (LF) neurons, but tonotopic differences remain after hearing onset. Across embryonic development, both HF and LF neurons exhibit sharpened AP properties. Some tonotopic differences are minimized at embryonic maturity, but others remain. I_{κ} = potassium (K⁺) current.

Conclusions

. Low frequency neurons demonstrate delayed development compared to high frequency neurons for action potential (AP) properties such as AP rise rate (caused by Na⁺ channel contributions) and AP repolarization rate (caused by high-voltage activated K⁺ channel contributions, *e.g.*, Kv3).

2. However, some functional characteristics, like AP peak latency, input resistance, and some K⁺ channel currents, show distinct tonotopic differences across embryonic development.

3. While low frequency neurons do develop slower than high frequency neurons, they still retain unique tonotopic differences at all embryonic stages that cannot solely be explained by delayed development.